UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/841,465	09/841,465 04/24/2001 Seth Haberman		0813808.12502	5373
545 IP Patent Docke	7590 06/07/201 eting	EXAMINER		
K&L GATES I	LP	VAN HANDEL, MICHAEL P		
599 Lexington . 33rd Floor	Avenue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY	New York, NY 10022-6030		2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/841,465	HABERMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL VAN HANDEL	2424	

	MICHAEL VAN HANDEL	2424					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original contents.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	out prior to the data of filing a brief	مط لمصحفحه مطفحة النب					
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT »);	E below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	octed claims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)		otoa ciaimo.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3: Applicant amended independent claim 1 to recite "presenting ... each of the media slots receiving one of a plurality of media segments as," "using ... and insert ... into ... media," and "assembling at the receiving unit ... as." Applicant amended independent claim 16 to recite "each of said media slots receiving one of a plurality of media segments," "inserted ... as a," "instructing ... and insert said media segment into one of said plurality of media slots .. assembling at said receiving unit ... as." Applicant amended independent claim 19 to recite "each of the media slots receiving one of a plurality of media segments," "media ... as," "wherein each of said media segments," and "and insert ... media ... assemble." As such, the amendments raise new issues that require further search and/or consideration.